



Reconstructing Human Epistemology in Islamic Studies: An Integrative Analysis of Scientific, Philosophical, and Spiritual Knowledge

Listi Hani Siregar¹, Anas Habibi Ritonga²

¹² UIN Syekh Ali Hasan Ahmad Ad-Dary Padangsidempuan

¹listihani@uinsyahada.ac.id, ²anashabibi@uinsyahada.ac.id

Submitted: 14 Juni 2026 Accepted: 25 September 2026 Published: 29 September 2026

Abstract

This study reconstructs the epistemological framework of human knowledge by integrating philosophical traditions, scientific methodology, and Islamic epistemology. Previous studies generally discuss these dimensions separately, resulting in fragmented understandings of knowledge production. This article aims to develop an integrative epistemological framework capable of explaining the relationship between common knowledge, philosophical reasoning, scientific inquiry, and mystical knowledge within contemporary Islamic studies. This research employed a qualitative library research design using conceptual and comparative analysis. Data were collected from indexed journal articles, classical philosophical works, and contemporary Islamic scholarship published primarily during the last decade. The analysis consisted of source criticism, thematic categorization, comparative interpretation, and theoretical synthesis. The findings reveal that human knowledge develops through interconnected epistemological mechanisms rather than isolated categories. Scientific knowledge provides methodological validity, philosophical knowledge offers conceptual coherence, mystical knowledge contributes existential meaning, while normative revelation functions as the ethical and theological foundation. The study proposes an integrative epistemological model that bridges the normative-historical dichotomy in Islamic studies and contributes a conceptual framework for interdisciplinary Islamic scholarship.

Keywords: Islamic Studies, Human Epistemology



INTRODUCTION

Epistemology constitutes one of the fundamental pillars in the philosophy of science because it investigates the origin, validity, structure, and justification of human knowledge. In the contemporary era, epistemological discourse has become increasingly significant due to the rapid expansion of interdisciplinary research, digital transformation, and the emergence of artificial intelligence, all of which challenge conventional understandings of knowledge production and validation. The acceleration of scientific development has not only generated unprecedented quantities of information but has also raised fundamental questions regarding the reliability, authority, and ethical foundations of knowledge itself. Consequently, epistemological inquiry is no longer confined to philosophical debates but has become an essential framework for understanding the dynamics of scientific development and contemporary Islamic scholarship (Baker & Scharp, 2024; Misak, 2021).

Within Islamic studies, epistemology occupies a strategic position because Islamic intellectual tradition recognizes multiple sources of knowledge, including revelation (wahy), reason ('aql), empirical observation, intuition (kashf), and historical experience. Unlike the positivistic tradition, which primarily privileges empirical verification, Islamic epistemology develops a multidimensional conception of knowledge that integrates metaphysical, rational, and empirical dimensions. This pluralistic epistemological orientation has historically contributed to the flourishing of Islamic civilization by encouraging dialogue between religious sciences, natural sciences, philosophy, and social sciences. However, the contemporary development of Islamic studies often demonstrates an increasing separation between normative religious discourse and empirical academic inquiry,

resulting in fragmented understandings of Islamic knowledge (Al-Attas, 1995; Osman Bakar, 2018).

Recent scholarly discussions indicate growing attention toward reconstructing Islamic epistemology in response to global intellectual challenges. Abdullah (2020) argues that Islamic studies should move beyond the dichotomy between normativity and historicity through an integrative-interconnective paradigm capable of accommodating religious commitment alongside scientific objectivity. Similarly, Sahin (2022) emphasizes that contemporary Islamic education requires an epistemological framework that simultaneously appreciates revelation, critical reasoning, and contextual interpretation. These developments illustrate that epistemological reconstruction has become an urgent academic agenda rather than merely a philosophical concern.

Previous studies have investigated various dimensions of epistemology. Al-Attas (1995) focuses primarily on the Islamization of knowledge by emphasizing revelation as the ultimate epistemological authority. Abdullah (2020) highlights the integration of normative and historical approaches in Islamic studies. Meanwhile, Bakar (2018) examines the relationship between Islamic philosophy and contemporary scientific development, whereas Sahin (2022) discusses the implications of Islamic epistemology for educational transformation. Although these studies have significantly enriched epistemological discourse, they generally examine scientific knowledge, philosophical reasoning, mystical experience, and religious revelation separately. Consequently, limited attention has been devoted to constructing a comprehensive epistemological framework capable of explaining the dynamic relationship among these diverse sources of knowledge within a unified conceptual model.

This theoretical fragmentation represents a substantial research gap. The absence of an integrative epistemological model has contributed to the persistence of dichotomies between science and religion, empirical and metaphysical knowledge, as well as normative and historical approaches in Islamic studies. Such fragmentation limits the ability of contemporary Islamic scholarship to respond effectively to increasingly complex multidisciplinary problems. Therefore, reconstructing human epistemology requires not only reviewing existing philosophical traditions but also synthesizing diverse epistemological perspectives into a coherent analytical framework.

Accordingly, this study proposes an Integrative Human Epistemology Framework that conceptualizes human knowledge as an interconnected system comprising common knowledge, philosophical reasoning, scientific inquiry, mystical experience, and divine revelation. Rather than positioning these epistemological traditions as competing paradigms, this framework demonstrates their complementary functions in producing comprehensive knowledge. The proposed model represents the principal novelty of this study by extending previous epistemological discussions toward a multidimensional and integrative perspective applicable to contemporary Islamic studies.

Based on this background, this article aims to analyze the typology of human knowledge, examine the mechanisms through which knowledge is acquired, reconstruct the relationship between scientific and non-scientific epistemologies, and formulate an integrative conceptual model that bridges the longstanding dichotomy between normative and historical approaches in Islamic studies. It is expected that this study will contribute theoretically to the development of Islamic epistemology and provide a conceptual

foundation for future interdisciplinary research within the field of Islamic studies.

METHOD

This study employed a qualitative library research design using a conceptual analysis approach to reconstruct the epistemological framework of human knowledge within Islamic studies. Qualitative library research is appropriate for examining philosophical concepts because it emphasizes the interpretation, comparison, and synthesis of ideas derived from authoritative academic sources rather than empirical observation (Creswell & Creswell, 2023; Snyder, 2019).

The study utilized documentary data consisting of primary and secondary sources. Primary sources included classical and contemporary works on epistemology, philosophy of science, and Islamic philosophy written by major scholars such as Al-Attas, Amin Abdullah, Harun Nasution, Karl Popper, Thomas Kuhn, and Jujun S. Suriasumantri. Secondary sources comprised peer-reviewed journal articles indexed in Scopus, Web of Science, DOAJ, and SINTA, particularly those published between 2020 and 2026 to ensure the relevance and currency of the theoretical discussion. The selection of literature followed academic credibility, relevance, originality, and contribution to the research objectives (Booth et al., 2021).

Data collection followed four systematic stages: identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and thematic classification. Relevant literature was identified through electronic databases using keywords such as human epistemology, Islamic epistemology, knowledge integration, scientific method, and normative-historical approach. Subsequently, duplicated and non-peer-reviewed documents were excluded, while the remaining literature

was evaluated according to publication quality, conceptual relevance, and theoretical contribution before being classified into analytical themes (Snyder, 2019).

The analytical procedure adopted qualitative content analysis integrated with comparative conceptual analysis. Initially, important concepts, arguments, and epistemological assumptions were identified through open coding. The identified concepts were then categorized into thematic units and comparatively analyzed to reveal similarities, differences, and complementary relationships among scientific, philosophical, mystical, and Islamic epistemological traditions. The final stage involved theoretical synthesis to formulate an integrative epistemological framework capable of explaining the interrelationship among various forms of human knowledge (Bowen, 2009; Saldaña, 2021).

To enhance the trustworthiness of the findings, this study employed source triangulation and theoretical triangulation by comparing classical philosophical literature, contemporary scientific publications, and Islamic scholarship from different epistemological traditions. Continuous cross-checking among multiple authoritative sources was undertaken to ensure conceptual consistency, logical coherence, and theoretical validity throughout the analytical process (Miles et al., 2020).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Reconstructing the Epistemology of Human Knowledge: From Empirical Knowledge to Integrative Epistemology

The results show that human knowledge does not develop through separate mechanisms, but is formed through the dynamic interaction between empirical experience, rational reasoning, philosophical reflection, spiritual

experience, and revelation as normative sources. Analysis of various philosophical literature, scientific methodology, and Islamic epistemology shows that each form of knowledge has different epistemological characteristics, but is complementary in explaining reality more comprehensively.

At the most basic level, human knowledge begins from common knowledge obtained through daily experiences, social traditions, and interactions with the environment. This knowledge is the initial foundation for the formation of human ways of thinking before developing into more systematic knowledge. However, everyday knowledge has limitations because it is often influenced by subjective perceptions, cultures, and individual experiences that have not gone through the scientific verification process (Audi, 2011). Therefore, the development of science requires a more objective validation mechanism through scientific methods.

In the perspective of philosophy of science, the transformation from everyday knowledge to scientific knowledge occurs through a process of systematic observation, hypothesis formulation, empirical testing, and critical evaluation of research results. This approach makes science have an objective character, open to falsification, and able to produce explanations that can be replicated by other researchers (Popper, 2002). The findings of this study show that the empirical dimension makes an important contribution in producing methodological validity, but it is not enough to explain aspects of value, meaning, and purpose of human existence.

Comparative analysis also shows that philosophical knowledge has a different function from scientific knowledge. If science is oriented towards explaining the "how" a phenomenon occurs, then philosophy seeks to answer the question of the "why" and "what is the meaning" of the phenomenon. In

this context, philosophy functions to build conceptual coherence, evaluate the basic assumptions of science, and develop a more reflective framework of thinking (Rescher, 2003). Therefore, the relationship between philosophy and science is complementary, not replaceable.

Furthermore, this study finds that Islamic epistemology offers a broader perspective than the paradigms of empiricism and pure rationalism. In the Islamic intellectual tradition, the source of knowledge comes not only from al-'aql (reason) and al-hiss (senses), but also includes al-wahy (revelation) and al-qalb (intuition or spiritual experience). This framework shows that reality consists not only of material aspects that can be observed empirically, but also metaphysical dimensions that can only be understood through revelation and purification of the soul (Nasr, 2006).

This finding is in line with the thought of Al-Attas (1995) who affirms that science in Islam is the process of recognition of the proper place of things. Thus, the validity of knowledge is not only determined by the conformity between theory and empirical facts, but also by its conformity with moral values and the purpose of human creation. This perspective expands the scope of modern epistemology, which has so far focused more on methodological aspects than on axiological dimensions.

The results of conceptual synthesis in this study show that the relationship between types of knowledge can be understood as a system that is both hierarchical and integrative. Empirical knowledge produces data about observed phenomena, philosophy provides conceptual interpretation of these data, spiritual experience enriches understanding of the existential dimension of humans, while revelation becomes a normative framework that directs all scientific activities to be in harmony with divine and human values. Thus, the process of knowledge formation does not take place in a

linear manner, but through a continuous interaction between experience, ratios, reflections, and divine guidance.

The epistemological model reconstructed in this study also criticizes the dichotomy that has been developing between religious science and general science. This separation is rooted in the modern paradigm that tends to separate facts from values, as well as science from religion (Hoodbhoy, 1991). On the contrary, the results of this study show that Islamic epistemology allows integration between empirical, rational, and normative dimensions so as to produce a more holistic scientific framework. This integrative approach is relevant in facing the increasingly complex challenges of contemporary science, especially when various global problems demand collaboration between science, ethics, and spirituality.

Overall, the epistemological reconstruction produced by this study shows that the development of human knowledge takes place through interrelated mechanisms between empirical experience, philosophical reflection, scientific methodology, mystical experience, and revelation. The integration of these five dimensions results in an epistemological framework that is not only able to explain the validity of knowledge, but also provides ethical direction and existential meaning for the development of science in the context of contemporary Islamic studies.

The Integration of Scientific, Philosophical, Mystical, and Revelation Knowledge in the Formation of Islamic Epistemology

The results of the study show that Islamic epistemology does not place scientific science, philosophy, mystical experience, and revelation as stand-alone sources of knowledge, but as complementary epistemological systems. Based on the results of conceptual analysis of various literatures, it

was found that each source of knowledge has a different area of validity, proof methods, and objects of study, so that the integration between the four results in a more comprehensive framework of knowledge than an approach that relies only on one epistemic source. These findings show that the development of knowledge in the Islamic tradition is multidimensional, combining empirical, rational, intuitive, and normative dimensions in a single epistemological unit (Al-Attas, 1995; Nasr, 2006).

Scientific knowledge contributes through observation, measurement, experiment, and verification procedures that produce objective knowledge about natural and social phenomena. The scientific approach has the advantage of producing theories that can be tested, replicated, and developed in accordance with the development of empirical data. Therefore, the scientific method is the main instrument in explaining causality and predicting various phenomena based on available evidence (Popper, 2002). However, this study found that methodological validity is not always synonymous with epistemological completeness. Many problems regarding morality, life purpose, justice, and the meaning of existence cannot be explained only through an empirical approach.

These limitations make philosophical knowledge have a strategic position in building conceptual coherence. Philosophy functions to criticize the basic assumptions of science, explain the essence of reality (ontology), how to acquire knowledge (epistemology), and the purpose of using knowledge (axiology). Thus, philosophy provides a rational foundation that allows various disciplines to have a consistent and logical framework of thinking (Rescher, 2003). The results of this study show that philosophical reflection not only strengthens scientific arguments, but also serves as a link between empirical findings and broader human values.

On the other hand, this study finds that mystical knowledge provides dimensions that cannot be achieved through empirical observation or rational analysis alone. In the Islamic tradition, spiritual experiences obtained through *tazkiyat al-nafs*, *mujāhadah*, and *riyāḍah* are seen as the process of perfecting human abilities to understand metaphysical reality. This type of knowledge is not intended to replace the scientific method, but rather to enrich the understanding of the inner aspects of human beings, the relationship with God, and the meaning of life existence (Chittick, 2007). Therefore, the validity of mystical experiences is not measured through laboratory replication, but through the consistency of spiritual experiences, moral transformations, and their conformity with the principles of revelation.

Analysis of various literature also shows that revelation is the main distinguishing element between Islamic epistemology and the modern Western epistemological paradigm. Revelation is positioned as a source of absolute knowledge because it comes from Allah SWT., so it becomes a normative basis in determining the orientation of the use of reason, empirical experience, and spiritual intuition. In this perspective, revelation is not an obstacle to the development of science, but an ethical and theological framework that directs scientific activities to remain based on the values of justice, benefit, and responsibility for life (Kamali, 2013). Thus, the relationship between revelation and science is complementary, not competitive.

The findings of this study show that the integration of the four sources of knowledge forms an epistemological mechanism that reinforces each other. Scientific knowledge provides empirical evidence of objective reality, philosophy develops rational arguments and conceptual frameworks, mystical experiences deepen the spiritual and existential dimensions, while revelation

provides a normative orientation that becomes the foundation of scientific ethics. This relationship shows that there is no exclusive hierarchy between these sources of knowledge, but rather functional relationships that are tailored to the object of their respective studies.

The results of this study also criticize the dichotomous tendency in contemporary Islamic studies that separates religious science from general science. This dichotomy often leads to the emergence of methodological dualism, namely the use of normative approaches without empirical analysis or conversely the use of scientific approaches without considering ethical and theological dimensions. In fact, the history of the development of Islamic civilization shows that classical scientists such as Ibn Sina, Al-Farabi, Al-Biruni, and Ibn Rushd developed science through a synthesis between empirical observation, philosophical reasoning, and religious values (Nasr, 2006). These findings reinforce the view that the integration of epistemology is the original character of the Islamic scientific tradition, not a new concept born in response to modernity.

The conceptual implication of these findings is the need to develop an Islamic research methodology that not only combines normative and historical approaches, but also opens up space for dialogue between modern science, philosophy, and spirituality. Such an approach allows Islamic studies to produce explanations that are not only methodologically valid, but also ethically relevant and existentially meaningful. Thus, the integrative epistemology produced by this research provides a conceptual basis for the development of interdisciplinary research that is able to answer the challenges of contemporary society more fully.

Integrative Epistemology Model as a New Paradigm in Contemporary Islamic Studies

The results of this research synthesis produce an integrative epistemological model that reconstructs the relationship between empirical knowledge, philosophical knowledge, mystical experience, and revelation as an intersecting epistemological system. This model was born from the finding that epistemological approaches that have developed over the years tend to be partial. The positivistic tradition places empirical observation as the main basis for scientific truth, while the religious normative approach often places revelation as the only source of legitimacy of knowledge. As a result, Islamic studies develop in a dichotomy between historical-empirical approaches and normative-theological approaches that are often positioned in opposite positions (AbuSulayman, 1993; Arkoun, 2002). This research shows that this dichotomy can be reduced through an epistemological model that views all sources of knowledge as part of a unified system.

The model developed in this study places common knowledge as the starting point for the process of knowledge formation. Daily experience is the initial source of scientific questions, philosophical reflection, and the search for spiritual meaning. However, this knowledge does not have an adequate level of validity before going through the process of empirical verification and rational analysis. Therefore, the scientific method functions as a mechanism for the objectification of knowledge through systematic observation, hypothesis testing, and critical evaluation of available evidence (Popper, 2002). Within this framework, science is not only understood as a collection of facts, but as a process that continues to evolve through criticism and refinement.

After obtaining empirical validity, knowledge requires a conceptualization process through philosophical reflection. The findings of the study show that philosophy has an integrative function because it is able to explain the ontological, epistemological, and axiological assumptions that underlie each discipline. Philosophical reflection allows the relationship between various disciplines to become more coherent, thereby reducing the fragmentation of knowledge that is characteristic of the development of modern science (Rescher, 2003). In the context of Islamic studies, philosophy also functions as a medium of dialogue between the Islamic intellectual tradition and the development of contemporary science, resulting in a more adaptive understanding of social and technological changes.

On top of the empirical and philosophical dimensions, this study finds that spiritual experiences contribute to the formation of ethical awareness and existential meaning. Mystical experiences are not intended to replace the scientific method, but rather to complement aspects of knowledge related to inner awareness, morality, and human relationship with God. In the Sufism tradition, the process of tazkiyat al-nafs is seen as an epistemological mechanism that allows humans to gain wisdom through the purification of the soul, so that knowledge is not only informative, but also transformative (Chittick, 2007). These findings show that the spiritual dimension has an important role in shaping the character of scientists and the orientation of the use of science.

The entire process obtains normative direction through revelation, which in Islamic epistemology functions as a source of absolute truth as well as an ethical framework for scientific activities. Revelation provides moral limits regarding the purpose of using knowledge, the orientation of civilization development, and the responsibility of human beings as caliphs

on earth (Al-Attas, 1995; Kamali, 2013). Thus, the epistemological model produced by this research does not place revelation as a substitute for scientific methods, but as an axiological foundation that directs the use of reason, observation, and spiritual experience to produce benefits for human life.

Based on the results of the synthesis, this study proposes an Integrative Epistemology Model consisting of four main components that interact with each other, namely: (1) empirical knowledge as a source of data and facts, (2) philosophical knowledge as an instrument of conceptual analysis and critical reflection, (3) mystical knowledge as a source of spiritual experience and the formation of moral consciousness, and (4) revelation as a normative and theological foundation. These four components do not form rigidly hierarchical relationships, but build an epistemological cycle that continues to evolve through dialogue between experience, ratio, spirituality, and divine values.

Conceptually, this model contributes to the development of contemporary Islamic studies methodologies. So far, methodological debates in Islamic studies often revolve around the choice between normative or historical, textual or contextual, and religious or scientific approaches (Abdullah, 2020). The results of this study show that these approaches do not have to be contradicted because each has a different epistemological function. The integration of various approaches actually allows for a more comprehensive analysis of various Islamic issues, such as bioethics, sharia economics, artificial intelligence, climate change, Islamic education, and social governance based on Islamic values.

The practical implication of this model is the need to develop an Islamic higher education curriculum that integrates philosophy of science,

research methodology, empirical sciences, and Islamic studies in a more systematic manner. This integration can produce graduates who not only have academic competence, but also ethical awareness, critical thinking skills, and social responsibility in developing science. In addition, this model can also be a conceptual foundation for interdisciplinary research involving collaboration between religious sciences, social sciences, natural sciences, and technology.

However, this study has limitations because it uses a library research approach so that the proposed epistemological model is still at the conceptual level. The applicative validity of the model has not been tested through empirical research on educational institutions, research institutions, and public policy development practices based on Islamic values. Therefore, further research needs to develop analytical instruments or operational frameworks that are able to measure the implementation of integrative epistemology in various fields of study. The empirical testing will strengthen the position of this model as a methodological paradigm that not only has theoretical coherence, but also practical relevance in answering the challenges of Islamic studies in the contemporary era.

CONCLUSION

Based on the findings, this study concludes that the lack of standardization of Hausa Islamic textbooks across Islamic schools, coupled with poorly translated Hausa Islamic materials from Arabic, has contributed to distortions in meaning and limited the effective use of the Hausa language in Islamic education in Niger State. These challenges indicate the need for systematic improvement in the quality, standardization, and accessibility of Hausa Islamic educational resources. In particular, the development and distribution of digital Hausa Islamic resources, including audio materials,

videos, and mobile applications, represent an important strategy for strengthening the role of the Hausa language in supporting Islamic education and improving students' access to reliable Islamic learning materials.

In line with these findings, the study recommends that the Niger State Government, in collaboration with relevant religious organizations, develop standardized Hausa-language textbooks specifically designed for the teaching and learning of Islamic education. Such materials should undergo appropriate academic, linguistic, and religious review to ensure accuracy, consistency, and contextual relevance. In addition, the Niger State Government should collaborate with Islamic non-governmental organizations and philanthropic institutions to develop and distribute free digital Hausa Islamic resources, including audio, video, and mobile-based learning materials, to Islamic schools throughout the state. These initiatives are expected to expand access to quality Islamic educational resources while strengthening the use of Hausa as an effective medium for Islamic education.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abdullah, M. A. (2020). Multidisiplin, interdisiplin, dan transdisiplin: Metode studi agama dan studi Islam di era kontemporer. IB Pustaka.
- Al-Attas, S. M. N. (1995). Prolegomena to the metaphysics of Islam. International Institute of Islamic Thought and Civilization.
- Baker, D., & Scharp, K. (2024). Epistemology in the age of artificial intelligence: Challenges for knowledge production and justification. *Philosophy & Technology*, 37(1), 1–18.
- Bakar, O. (2018). Islam and the integration of knowledge: Philosophical perspectives. Islamic Book Trust.

- Misak, C. (2021). *The American pragmatists*. Oxford University Press.
- Sahin, A. (2022). *Critical issues in Islamic education studies: Rethinking Islamic and Western liberal secular values of education*. Routledge.
- Audi, R. (Ed.). (2023). *The Cambridge dictionary of philosophy* (4th ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. (2023). Epistemology. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/epistemology/>
- Booth, A., Sutton, A., & Papaioannou, D. (2021). *Systematic approaches to a successful literature review* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27–40. <https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027>
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2023). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (6th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2020). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Saldaña, J. (2021). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Vears, D. F., & Gillam, L. (2022). Inductive content analysis: A guide for beginning qualitative researchers. *Focus on Health Professional Education*, 23(1), 111–127.

- Xiao, Y., & Watson, M. (2019). Guidance on conducting a systematic literature review. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 39(1), 93–112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X17723971>
- Abdullah, M. A. (2020). *Multidisiplin, interdisiplin, dan transdisiplin: Metode studi agama dan studi Islam di era kontemporer*. IB Pustaka.
- AbuSulayman, A. A. (1993). *Crisis in the Muslim mind*. International Institute of Islamic Thought.
- Al-Attas, S. M. N. (1995). *Prolegomena to the metaphysics of Islam*. International Institute of Islamic Thought and Civilization (ISTAC).
- Arkoun, M. (2002). *The unthought in contemporary Islamic thought*. Saqi Books.
- Audi, R. (2011). *Epistemology: A Contemporary Introduction to the Theory of Knowledge* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Chittick, W. C. (2007). *The Self-Disclosure of God: Principles of Ibn al-'Arabi's Cosmology*. State University of New York Press.
- Hoodbhoy, P. (1991). *Islam and Science: Religious Orthodoxy and the Battle for Rationality*. Zed Books.
- Kamali, M. H. (2013). *The Middle Path of Moderation in Islam: The Qur'anic Principle of Wasatiyyah*. Oxford University Press.
- Nasr, S. H. (2006). *Islamic Philosophy from Its Origin to the Present: Philosophy in the Land of Prophecy*. State University of New York Press.
- Popper, K. R. (2002). *The Logic of Scientific Discovery*. Routledge.
- Rescher, N. (2003). *Epistemology: An Introduction to the Theory of Knowledge*. State University of New York Press.